

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/044,569	01/11/2002	Jean-Marie R. Saint-Remy	50304/063001	9454
21559 CLARK & ELI	7590 03/15/2007 BING LLP	EXAMINER		
101 FEDERAL STREET BOSTON, MA 02110			SZPERKA, MICHAEL EDWARD	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			. 1644	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		·.	03/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/044,569	SAINT-REMY ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Michael Szperka	1644		

	Michael Szperka	1644	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 26 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follows:	n the same day as filing a Notice of	Appeal. To avoid aba	indonment of
places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliar	otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	compliance with 37 C	FR 41.31; or (3)
time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date.	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of e under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b NOTICE OF APPEAL	er than three months after the mailing da b).	ate of the final rejection,	even if timely filed,
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two montl	ns of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extra a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further c 			ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel		, ,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	etter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.		jected claims.	
4. $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	·	•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>22-33</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 2-4 and 13-20.			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	its to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	out does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	. (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	0-	~
13. Other:			Zwidd
		3/16/0	LDT, PH.D.
		PRIMARY	EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's proposed amendment to independent claim 22 removes "preventing" and adds the limitation of treating a mammal "at risk of developing SIRS". A cursory review of the application as filed has not uncovered support for such a limitation. Specifically, the specification does not appear to teach methods of identifying patients "at risk of SIRS" and as such a skilled artisan would not know to whom the recited antibody should be administered when practicing the claimed method. As such, applicant's proposed claim amendments appear to comprise new matter. Additionally, while the specification does disclose prophylactic or therapeutic treatment with KRIX 1, the specification does not appear to teach prophylactic administration of the genus of antibodies recited in the instant claims. Further note that prophylactic administration can be given to anyone, not just to patients at risk of developing SIRS.